Appl. No.: 10/763,875

Amdt. dated February 15, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 16, 2009

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the present Office Action, Claims 1-4, 6, 8-21, 23 and 25-29 stand rejected as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,481,588 to Rickli, U.S. Patent No. 6,336,035 to Somoza and U.S. Patent No. 5,805,996 to Salmela. The Office Action also indicates that Claims 5 and 22

U.S. Patent No. 3,803,990 to Salmela. The Office Action also indicates that Claims 3 at

would be allowable if rewritten into independent form.

In the present response, the Applicants have amended independent Claim 1 to include the

linger duration feature from dependent Claim 5 and the route data features from intervening claims 2 and 4. Similarly, independent Claim 11 has been amended to include the linger

duration feature from dependent Claim 15 and route data features from intervening claims 12 and 14. Independent Claim 18 has been amended to include the linger duration feature from

dependent Claim 22 and route data features from intervening claims 19 and 21. Finally,

independent claim 22 has been amended to include a linger duration feature and to further clarify

the route data feature. The Applicants respectfully submit that the amended independent claims

are patentable in view of comments made in the Office Action regarding the patentability of

Claims 5 and 22. As such, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the

associated rejections.

The patentability of the independent claim has been argued as set forth above and thus

the Applicants will not take this opportunity to argue the merits of the rejection with regard to

the dependent claims. However, the Applicants do not concede that the dependent claims are not

independently patentable and reserve the right to argue the patentability of the dependent claims

at a later date if necessary.

9 of 10

Appl. No.: 10/763,875

Amdt. dated February 15, 2010

Reply to Office Action of November 16, 2009

The foregoing is submitted as a full and complete response to the Office Action mailed on November 16, 2009. The Applicants respectfully submit, in light of the foregoing remarks, that the present application is in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required. beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey A. Cooper Registration No. 54,757

Customer No. 00826 ALSTON & BIRD LLP Bank of America Plaza 101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000

Charlotte, NC 28280-4000 Tel Atlanta Office (404) 881-7000 Fax Atlanta Office (404) 881-7777

ELECTRONICALLY FILED USING THE EFS-WEB ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT &

TRADEMARK OFFICE ON February 15, 2010.